



IMPLEMENT MISSING C++26 FEATURES IN CLANG

Author:
- Muhammad Bassiouni

Mentors:
- Vassil Vassilev
- Aaron Jomy



[N3356] Where we left off

01

Adds a single declaration rule

Adds a single declaration to the grammar of if/switch condition.

02

Similar to C++, but not the same

First clause of condition is a declaration and can't be empty.

Second clause of condition is only an expression, not a simple declaration.

03

Adds more declaration specifiers

Because of the nature of the first clause being a declaration.

```
1  if (bool x = true; x) {}
2  if (bool x = false) return x;
3  if ([[maybe_unused]] bool x = true) {}
4  if (bool x [[maybe_unused]] = true) {}
5  if ([[maybe_unused]] int x = 3; x > 0) {}
6  if (struct A { int x; } a = { .x = 1; } a.x) {}
7  if (int arr[] = {1,2,3}; arr[1]) {}
8  if (auto x = 1; x) {}
9  if (static_assert(true); true) {}
10 if ([clang::assume(1 > 0)]; true) {}
11 if ([[]]; true) {}
12 if (__attribute__((assume(1 > 0))); true) {}
13 if (__attribute__(()); true) {}
14 if (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {}
15 if (auto x __attribute__((deprecated)) = 3) {}
16 if (__extension__ [[maybe_unused]] int x = 3; x > 0) {}

1  switch (int x [[maybe_unused]] = 1) {}
2  switch ([[maybe_unused]] int x = 1) {}
3  switch (__attribute__((assume(1 > 0))); 1) {default:}
4  switch (__attribute__(()); 1) {default:}
5  switch (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {default:}
6  switch (auto x __attribute__((deprecated)) = 3) {default:}
7  switch (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {default: x += 1;}
```

[N3356] What's new

01

Related PRs got merged!

The implementation is complete per paper wording and now available as part of Clang24.0.Ogit.

- Commit [226b0b5](#)
- Commit [1387002](#) (This work inspired a new way to handle compatibility diagnostics)

02

Backported to C89

Available in every C mode supported by Clang with compatibility warnings and respective diagnostics.

03

Added `__extension__` for C and C++

Declarations may be prefixed by one or more `__extension__` markers which silence extension diagnostics for the rest of the condition, including diagnostic for init-statement extension.

Wasn't available in C++ before.

```
1 if (bool x = true; x) {}
2 if (bool x = false) return x;
3 if ([[maybe_unused]] bool x = true) {}
4 if (bool x [[maybe_unused]] = true) {}
5 if ([[maybe_unused]] int x = 3; x > 0) {}
6 if (struct A { int x;} a = {.x = 1}; a.x) {}
7 if (int arr[] = {1,2,3}; arr[1]) {}
8 if (auto x = 1; x) {}
9 if (static_assert(true); true) {}
10 if ([clang::assume(1 > 0)]; true) {}
11 if ([[]]; true) {}
12 if (__attribute__((assume(1 > 0))); true) {}
13 if (__attribute__(()); true) {}
14 if (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {}
15 if (auto x __attribute__((deprecated)) = 3) {}
16 if (__extension__ [[maybe_unused]] int x = 3; x > 0) {}

1 switch (int x [[maybe_unused]] = 1) {}
2 switch ([[maybe_unused]] int x = 1) {}
3 switch (__attribute__((assume(1 > 0))); 1) {default:}
4 switch (__attribute__(()); 1) {default:}
5 switch (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {default:}
6 switch (auto x __attribute__((deprecated)) = 3) {default:}
7 switch (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {default: x += 1;}
```

[N3356] What's new

04

GNU attribute declaration didn't make it!

Because of the current status of GCC implementation we can't decide on whether to support those or not. GCC doesn't support `__attribute__((...)); true` yet.

But C23-style attribute declaration did make it!

```
1 if (bool x = true; x) {}
2 if (bool x = false) return x;
3 if ([[maybe_unused]] bool x = true) {}
4 if (bool x [[maybe_unused]] = true) {}
5 if ([[maybe_unused]] int x = 3; x > 0) {}
6 if (struct A { int x; } a = { .x = 1; } { a.x } {}
7 if (int arr[] = {1,2,3}; arr[1]) {}
8 if (auto x = 1; x) {}
9 if (static_assert(true); true) {}
10 if ([clang::assume(1 > 0)]; true) {}
11 if ([[]]; true) {}
12 if (__attribute__((assume(1 > 0))); true) {}
13 if (__attribute__(()); true) {}
14 if (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {}
15 if (auto x __attribute__((deprecated)) = 3) {}
16 if (__extension__ [[maybe_unused]] int x = 3; x > 0) {}
```

05

No reported issues so far

Since the code got merged there was no user related issues regarding the new code and no affected old codebases.

Note: the new changes touches the parser heavily.

```
1 switch (int x [[maybe_unused]] = 1) {}
2 switch ([[maybe_unused]] int x = 1) {}
3 switch (__attribute__((assume(1 > 0))), 1) {default:}
4 switch (__attribute__(()); 1) {default:}
5 switch (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {default:}
6 switch (auto x __attribute__((deprecated)) = 3) {default:}
7 switch (__attribute__((deprecated)) auto x = 3) {default: x += 1;}
```

06

Regression-free implementation

No reported regressions from Ezzeldin. The new code is as good as the old one!

What's next

Paper in motion:

[P1814R0] CTAD for alias templates

- Wait for GCC's final implementation for N3356 paper to decide what to do with GNU-style attribute declaration (GCC doesn't follow paper wording).
- [[maybe]] fix any occurring issues related to the implementation of N3356.
- Start working on [P1814R0] CTAD for alias templates paper.



THANK YOU



Feel free to ask questions or give feedback!

FIND ME AT

muhammad.m.bassiouni@gmail.com

github.com/bassiounix

linkedin.com/in/bassiounix